Subject: Date:

FW: Webform submission from: [webform_submission:source-title]

Tuesday, 17 March 2020 5:00:12 PM

Sent: Friday, 13 March 2020 9:55 AM

To: PPO Engagement <engagement@ppo.nsw.gov.au>;

Subject: FW: Webform submission from: [webform submission:source-title]

Sent: Thursday, 12 March 2020 12:11 PM **To:** DPE PS ePlanning Exhibitions Mailbox

Subject: Webform submission from: [webform_submission:source-title]

Submitted on Thu, 12/03/2020 - 12:10

Submitted by: Anonymous Submitted values are:

Submission Type: I am making a personal submission

First Name: Antony Last Name: Lewis Name Withheld: No

Email:

Suburb/Town & Postcode: Blacktown 2148

Submission file: [webform_submission:values:submission_file]

Submission: After just going to a Cooling the City masterclass held by Penrith City Council last month, the limited expectations and mandatory minimum standards are disappointing as we head into the significant heat and wild weather from our limited action on climate change. This planned city should be a master class in excellent resilience to the +3 celsius global effects we have locked ourselves into. Specifically, I strongly object to the Western Sydney Aerotropolis Planning Package in particular: Draft Western Sydney Aerotropolis Plan: The Aerotropolis Plan sets out the broad intent of the project's high-level land uses, environmental assets and transport infrastructure within the Aerotropolis. It fundamentally fails to address the impact of the project and need to adequately consider options like high speed rail which would deliver a significant jobs and infrastructure boost to the area without the unacceptable impact on the community, and our climate. The Plan fails to address the adverse impacts on air quality, noise pollution, and would threaten the Greater Blue Mountains World Heritage Area's environmental value and quality. Western Sydney Aerotropolis Discussion Paper on the proposed State Environmental Planning Policy: The Discussion paper fundamentally fails to protect the amenity of the residents of Western Sydney with inadequate protection form air pollution and aircraft

noise. Mapping noise (maps being incorporated within the SEPP) fails to deal with the fact that that the 24/7 curfew-free Aerotropolis will have serious and ongoing impact on the residents of Western Sydney. The document proposes excessive development and must provide for all the transport links and relevant infrastructure before the project is undertaken. The proposed new Environment and Recreation Zone significantly weakens the protections set out in other SEPPs like the Growth Centres SEPP. This SEPP should in fact be strengthened to introduce a broader definition of biodiversity to be included and protections should be strengthened. The Precinct Specific Controls should be strengthened to include enforceable targets to improve environmental outcomes and protect biodiversity. Draft Western Sydney Aerotropolis Development Control Plan Phase 1: The Development Control Plan is a list of performance outcomes which are so vague they fail to provide an effective guide for future development. The DCP lacks an attempt at detailed targets, numerical commitments, enforceable standards or numerical controls. The test in the DCP is simply to "encourage" or "consider" and potentially only "maintain" the vague aspirations identified. The DCP should be a rigorous document that sets ambitious enforceable standards and targets in every one of the proposed performance outcomes including building standards and improved air quality standards. The document should also set out mechanisms to ensure detailed performance standards can be achieved. The current document fails to provide the rigour to deliver development consistent with community expectations and the vision of the project. I hope you will consider this submission and the issues raised within it closely.

URL: https://pp.planningportal.nsw.gov.au/draftplans/exhibition/western-sydney-aerotropolis-planning-package